DIF

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
DIANE LAMONICA, Plaintiff, -against-	X : : 05 CV 1643 (ARR) : : NOT FOR : ELECTRONIC OR : PRINT PUBLICATION
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, Defendant.	OPINION AND ORDER X

ROSS, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff Diane Lamonica brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), requesting judicial review of the Commissioner of Social Security's adoption of the September 15, 2003 decision of Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Sol A. Wieselthier. ALJ Wieselthier found that plaintiff was disabled under the Social Security Act for a closed period from January 1, 1999 to May 1, 2002. R. 21. However, ALJ Wieselthier determined that, beginning on May 1, 2002, plaintiff retained the residual functional capacity to perform her past relevant work and therefore was not disabled after May 1, 2002. R. 10-21. On January 28, 2005, the Appeals Council denied plaintiff's request for review of the decision that she was not disabled after May 1, 2002. R. 4-6. The ALJ's decision therefore became the final decision of the Commissioner. Plaintiff initiated this action by complaint filed on March 28, 2005.

Defendant Commissioner of Social Security, acknowledging that the ALJ's decision is incomplete and that the ALJ committed legal errors in analyzing the evidence on record, moves to have this case remanded to the Social Security Administration for further administrative

proceedings pursuant to the fourth sentence of 42 U.S.C. §405(g). Specifically, the

Commissioner acknowledges that the ALJ did not adequately assess plaintiff's credibility in

dismissing her testimony regarding her continuing pain and did not sufficiently develop the

record with respect to the demands of plaintiff's past relevant work. Plaintiff does not oppose

the Commissioner's motion to remand.

Under the fourth sentence of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), a "[c]ourt shall have power to enter.

upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing

the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the case for a

rehearing." 42 U.S.C. §405(g). A remand under the fourth sentence of 42 U.S.C. §405(g) is

appropriate when the Commissioner did not make explicit findings, or correctly apply the law

and regulations. Melkonyan v. Sullivan, 501 U.S. 89, 101 (1991).

After reviewing the record, this court agrees that further development and re-evaluation

of the record is necessary. Accordingly, the Commissioner's unopposed motion for remand is

granted.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

SO ORDERED.

Allyne R. Rose United States District Judge

Dated: February 13, 2006

Brooklyn, New York

2

SERVICE LIST:

Plaintiff:

Diane Lamonica 58-44 81st Street Middle Village, NY 11379

Defendant's Attorney:

Karen Thompson Callahan Social Security Adm. Office 26 Federal Plaza Room 3904 New York, NY 10278